

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL
on
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)


CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -97)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,489 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.



FOR THE PANEL:



John W. Nichols
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of
the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio
By: /s/Robert Pitts
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

SCHEDULE CTO-97 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
ARIZONA			
AZ	2	19-04410	Yuma, County of v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN			
CAN	3	19-03222	Tule River Indian Tribe of California v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
CAN	3	19-03224	Lytton Band of Pomo Indians v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al
COLORADO			
CO	1	19-01701	City of Sheridan v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
CO	1	19-01704	City of Federal Heights v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al
FLORIDA MIDDLE			
FLM	3	19-00699	St. Johns County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLM	3	19-00702	Bradford County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLM	6	19-01064	Seminole County, Florida v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
FLM	8	19-01388	Hernando County v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
KENTUCKY EASTERN			
KYE	0	19-00056	Morgan County Fiscal Court v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MASSACHUSETTS			
MA	1	19-11266	City of New Bedford et al v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	1	19-11277	Town of Ayer, Massachusetts v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
MA	4	19-40077	Town of Oxford v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

MICHIGAN EASTERN

MIE 2 19-11703

Charter Township of Pittsfield, Michigan v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al

MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

MSS 1 19-00308

City of Wiggins, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

MSS 5 19-00053

Franklin County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al

PENNSYLVANIA MIDDLE

PAM 3 19-00963

Wright Township, Pennsylvania v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al

TEXAS SOUTHERN

~~TXS~~ 4 19-02089

~~Fire and Police Retiree Health Care Fund, San Antonio v. CVS Health Corporation~~ Opposed 6/25/19